UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. Jesus Manuel Payan-Duran	Case No. 1:09-cr-00272-GJQ	
	Defendant	Case No. 1.05-01-00272-03Q	
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform fendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact	
=		8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
-	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for	
-	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.	
-	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	ı years or more is prescribed in:	
-	a felony committed after the defendant had been c U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.	
-	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	es:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or c a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250	
		e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for th	
(4) F		on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothers not rebutted that presumption.	
·	Alternative F	·	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	s committed an offense	
-	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.		
_	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis defendant's appearance and the safety of the community	shed by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the /.	
/ (4) -	Alternative F	indings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger t		
(2)	Part II – Statement of the	·	
l fi		etention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing	
	a preponderance of the evidence that:	sterilion hearing establishes by deal and convincing	
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contes		
	dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be relea		
s. Delenc	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the	e court's attention should his circumstances change.	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 24, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	_
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	